

Chapter 5:

Integration and Synthesis

Lead-authors: L. Barrie, G. Brasseur, P.J. Crutzen,
D. Jacob, and H. Rodhe

Contributing Authors: T. Bates, W. Hao, A. Guenther, M. Schultz

April, 2000

This chapter, which is intended to integrate in a coherent framework the topics presented in the previous chapters, should be regarded as a first draft. Many references are missing in different parts of the text. This document has not been reviewed and is not yet completed. Figures are not yet included. The chapter will be finalized after the Aspen meeting based on input provided at the meeting.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 5:	1
INTEGRATION AND SYNTHESIS	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. THE NATURAL (PRE-INDUSTRIAL) ATMOSPHERE	1
2.1. Introduction	1
2.2. Surface emissions by the continental biosphere	3
2.3. Chemical couplings between the ocean and the atmosphere	4
2.4. Production of nitrogen oxides by lightning.....	10
2.5. Chemical composition of the pre-industrial atmosphere	13
3. HUMAN INFLUENCE ON ATMOSPHERIC COMPOSITION	15
3.1. Introduction	15
4. THE PARTICULAR CASE OF BIOMASS BURNING	21
4.1. Introduction	21
4.2. Extent of biomass burning.....	22
4.3. Combustion chemistry and emissions	24
4.4. Contribution to global budgets	25
4.5. Effects on tropospheric ozone	26
5. GLOBAL BUDGETS OF ATMOSPHERIC COMPOUNDS	26
6. PROSPECTS FOR THE 21ST CENTURY	31
REFERENCES (NOT COMPLETE)	34

1 **1. Introduction**

2 The previous chapters highlight some of the major findings of the last
3 decade. They focus on the important issues related to the coupling between the
4 biosphere and the atmosphere, photochemical processes in the atmosphere,
5 and aerosol formation and fate. In the present chapter, we present a general
6 overview of our understanding of the processes that determine the chemical
7 composition of the atmosphere and emphasize several aspects of the research
8 in which IGAC has been directly involved. We first present a synthetic view
9 of the natural processes that determine the chemical composition of the
10 atmosphere, and specifically summarize our understanding of the tracer
11 emissions by the continental biosphere, the chemical couplings between the
12 ocean and the atmosphere, and the importance of lightning for the global
13 atmospheric composition. In a following Section, we examine the importance
14 of human influences on the chemical composition of the atmosphere. We then
15 examine the particular, yet important case of biomass burning, because of its
16 importance as a source of atmospheric perturbations, specifically in the
17 tropics. Finally, we present an overview of our understanding of global budget
18 for chemical species and conclude by envisaging some prospects for the
19 chemical composition in the 21st century.

20 **2. The natural (pre-industrial) atmosphere**

21 **2.1. Introduction**

22 Chapter 2 has highlighted the important role played by the biosphere in
23 controlling the chemical composition of the natural atmosphere. The
24 abundance of chemical species in the present troposphere and stratosphere has,
25 however, been changing dramatically as a result of agricultural practices and
26 industrial development. In order to assess the role of these human-induced
27 perturbations, it is crucial to understand the natural processes that determine
28 background conditions and explain the state of the atmosphere in the pre-
29 industrial era.

1 It is first important to recognize that the state of the natural system is
2 highly dynamic. Variability at a variety of temporal and spatial scales are
3 characteristic of a nonlinear system in which chemical and biological
4 processes are linked to the physical climate of the planet. Past changes in the
5 air composition derived from ice core analyses are not only due to long-term
6 periodic variations in the solar energy reaching the Earth (Milankovich
7 cycles), but are also representative of the nonlinear response of the Earth
8 System.

9 Perhaps the strongest link between the biosphere and the atmosphere is
10 provided by the fluxes of chemical elements (e.g. carbon, nitrogen, sulfur)
11 between these components of the Earth System. These fluxes need to be
12 carefully estimated before global budgets of chemical compounds can be
13 established. Different contributions have to be distinguished over the
14 continents, including the release of molecules by plants, soils and wetlands, as
15 well as the deposition of chemical species on the surface. In this latter case,
16 both wet deposition of soluble species as a result of scavenging by
17 precipitation and dry deposition as a result of chemical or physical processes
18 on the vegetation and soils must be considered. Exchanges of trace gases
19 between the ocean and the atmosphere depend on the solubility of chemical
20 compounds in water and on the wind speed at the surface of the ocean.

21 Non-biological sources are also affecting the chemical composition of
22 the atmosphere. These include the release of material by volcanic eruptions.
23 Such events have played a major role in determining the evolution of the
24 atmospheric composition on geological timescales. In the contemporary
25 atmosphere, they episodically perturb the system as observed in the last
26 decades after the eruptions of El Chichon and Pinatubo. Finally, in situ
27 sources and sinks of chemical compounds must be considered. This is the case
28 for nitrogen oxides which are produced in substantial quantities by lightning
29 flashes in thunderstorm systems or for many other species (e.g., ozone) that
30 are produced and destroyed by in situ chemical reactions.

1 **2.2. Surface emissions by the continental biosphere**

2 Large amounts of oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon are exchanged between
3 the continental biosphere and the atmosphere. As stated in Chapter 1, the
4 presence of major atmospheric gases (N_2 and O_2) in the Earth's atmosphere
5 results primarily from the existence of living matter and specifically from
6 microbial processes in soils (N_2) and photosynthesis by plants (O_2). The level
7 of atmospheric carbon dioxide is also determined in large part by
8 photosynthesis and respiration processes in plants. The release of less
9 abundant, but more reactive biogenic compounds plays a key role for the
10 photochemistry of the atmosphere, since these species control to a large extent
11 the oxidizing power of the atmosphere under non-polluted conditions and
12 affect directly the tropospheric concentration of ozone and of the hydroxyl
13 radical. We briefly summarize our current knowledge on the emissions of
14 several biogenic gases.

15 **Nitrous and Nitric oxides.** Nitrous and nitric oxides are produced in
16 soils as a result of nitrification (ammoniac converted in nitrates and nitrites)
17 and denitrification (inverse conversion) processes. The corresponding total
18 emission is poorly known (uncertainty of a factor 10) due to the extreme
19 geographical variations in the observed fluxes and their strong dependence on
20 environmental conditions. Natural fires (biomass burning) contribute to the
21 natural emissions of nitric oxide. Nitrous oxide is very stable in the
22 atmosphere (lifetime of 150 years) and hence penetrates into the stratosphere
23 where it is photolyzed and oxidized. The oxidation of nitrous oxide is known
24 to be the largest source of nitric oxide in the stratosphere. This latter
25 compound contributes to the destruction of ozone in the stratosphere. In the
26 troposphere, the presence of nitric oxide catalyzes the photochemical
27 production of ozone.

28 **Ammonia.** Natural Sources of ammonia include emissions by soils,
29 enzymatic decomposition of urea in animal urine, and emanations from
30 decomposing excrement and biomass burning. Despite its relatively short
31 lifetime in the atmosphere, ammonia is the third most abundant nitrogen gas
32 (after N_2 and N_2O) in the atmosphere.

1 **Methane.** Methane is produced primarily by bacterial decomposition of
2 organic matter in anaerobic (oxygen deficient) environments, including
3 wetlands, lakes, etc. Substantial amounts of CH₄ are released by high latitude
4 ecosystems as well as by tropical forests. Natural sources represent only 35-40
5 percent of current total emissions. The contribution of wild animals is
6 probably much smaller than that of livestock. The emission by termites is
7 believed to be considerably smaller than in the early studies. The oxidation of
8 methane is a major source of carbon monoxide in the natural atmosphere.

9 **Nonmethane Hydrocarbons.** Even in the present atmosphere,
10 vegetation provides the largest source of atmospheric nonmethane
11 hydrocarbons. The natural source of isoprene, for example, is estimated to be
12 almost as large (in mass) as the total (natural and anthropogenic) source of
13 methane. The emission source of terpenes and other biogenic hydrocarbons is
14 probably as large or even larger. In recent years, much attention has been
15 given to the biogenic emissions of acetone; this compound could provide a
16 major source of the OH radical in the tropical upper troposphere. The lifetime
17 of isoprene and of other primary biogenic hydrocarbons is generally short
18 (typically less than 1 day). Their photochemical destruction occurs through
19 reaction with the hydroxyl radical (during daytime), with ozone and NO₃
20 (which is most abundant during nighttime). Intermediate organic compounds
21 are formed and can be transported away from the sources and affect
22 photochemistry. Nonmethane hydrocarbons are a major source of carbon
23 monoxide under natural conditions.

24 Detailed observations are needed to quantify the biogenic emissions of
25 partially oxidized hydrocarbons (aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, etc.) which can
26 be released by plants and could affect organic chemistry in the continental
27 atmosphere.

28 [Need to add SO₂ by volcanoes, soil dust and perhaps insert here NO_x by lightning]

29 **2.3. Chemical couplings between the ocean and the atmosphere**

30 The ocean is both a source and sink for atmospheric trace gases and
31 particles. During the past decade numerous studies have used measured
32 seawater and atmospheric trace gas concentrations to calculate the ocean-

1 atmosphere exchange. Aerosol concentrations in the atmospheric marine
2 boundary layer have been used to infer a similar exchange. The following
3 paragraphs summarize some of the recent highlights in chemical couplings
4 between the ocean and atmosphere.

5 **Air-sea exchange:** The ocean-atmosphere flux (F) of a sparingly-soluble
6 gas (X) can be expressed as:

$$7 \quad F = k L dp$$

8 where k is the gas transfer velocity expressed in units of length/time, L is
9 the gas solubility at the ambient surface seawater temperature expressed in
10 units of concentration/pressure, and dp is the difference in the gas partial
11 pressure in surface seawater and the overlying atmosphere. Factor k is
12 parameterized using wind speed and Schmidt number which is the ratio of
13 kinematic viscosity of seawater and the molecular diffusivity of the gas (Liss
14 and Merlivat, 1986; Wanninkhof, 1992).

15 Although the calculations are straight forward, there are still large
16 uncertainties in the resulting values of k. While the Liss and Merlivat (1986)
17 wind speed/transfer velocity relationship has been supported by dual tracer
18 techniques (Watson *et al.*, 1991), other studies suggest that this relationship
19 underestimates the flux by as much as a factor of two (Smethie *et al.*, 1985;
20 Erickson, 1989; Tans *et al.*, 1990; Wanninkhof, 1992). These differences are
21 in part due to the wind fields used in the calculations. Since many of the wind
22 speed/transfer velocity relationships are non-linear, transfer velocities
23 calculated with long-term average winds will generally be lower than those
24 obtained using short-term variable winds (Wanninkhof, 1992). The choice of
25 wind fields depends upon the available data and the scientific objective.
26 Studies aimed at obtaining a large scale (ocean basin or global) average gas
27 flux by necessity use long-term average winds (Bates *et al.*, 1987; Tans *et al.*,
28 1990; Murphy *et al.*, 1991). The uncertainty in calculating wind speed/transfer
29 velocity relationships remains as a major challenge to understanding the
30 chemical coupling between the ocean and atmosphere. The major uncertainty
31 in the air-sea exchange of the gases discussed below is due to the range of
32 potential transfer velocities. New faster response sensors will provide

1 additional techniques for measuring air-sea gas exchange. Reducing this
2 uncertainty will be a major goal of SOLAS.

3 **Dimethylsulfide:** The ocean is the major natural source of sulfur to the
4 atmosphere. Numerous studies during the past decade have helped to define
5 regional and seasonal variations in surface seawater dimethylsulfide (DMS)
6 concentrations. A global database of sea surface DMS measurements has been
7 recently compiled by Kettle *et al.* (1999). The database includes over 15,000
8 point measurements from 23 different institutions. While this effort provides
9 an excellent gridded data set for calculating ocean-atmosphere DMS fluxes in
10 chemical transport models, we still lack the ability to predict how the
11 concentrations of seawater DMS might change with a changing climate. The
12 air-sea exchange of DMS is only a small sink in the seawater sulfur cycle and
13 thus minor changes in surface ocean biology, chemistry, or physics could have
14 a major effect on the surface seawater DMS concentration and flux. Future
15 work is needed to define the processes controlling surface seawater DMS
16 concentrations.

17 **COS:** Carbonyl sulfide (COS) is produced photochemically in the
18 surface ocean (Zepp and Andreae, 1994; von Hobe *et al.*, 1999). Early
19 measurements, which were generally taken in the summer season and during
20 daytime, suggested that the open ocean was a significant source of COS to the
21 atmosphere. However, recent measurements have shown wide regions of the
22 open ocean, especially in the subtropical gyres and wintertime subpolar
23 waters, to be undersaturated with respect to the overlying atmosphere (Weiss
24 *et al.*, 1995). The revised flux calculations, taking into account the diel and
25 seasonal variability, suggest that the open ocean is on average in equilibrium
26 with the atmosphere (Ulshofer *et al.*, 1995; Weiss *et al.*, 1995). The coastal
27 ocean remains a significant source of COS to the atmosphere.

28 **Methylhalides:** Methylhalides are produced and consumed biologically
29 (CH₃Br- Moore and Webb, 1996; Baker *et al.*, 1999; CH₃I – Moore and
30 Groszko, 1999) and photochemically (CH₃I–Happell and Wallace, 1996;
31 CH₃Cl – Moore *et al.*, 1996) in surface ocean waters. Recent measurements
32 have shown that the flux of CH₃Cl (Moore *et al.*, 1996) is significantly less

1 than early estimates and that the open ocean is a net sink rather than a source
2 for CH₃Br (Lobert *et al.*, 1997; Groszko and Moore, 1998). The oceanic
3 source of CH₃I to the atmosphere is estimated at 0.9 –2.5 Gmol/yr (Moore and
4 Groszko, 1999). Coastal (Nightingale *et al.*, 1995; Itoh and Shinya, 1994) and
5 high-latitude (Sturges *et al.*, 1992; 1993) production of halocarbons are a
6 significant source of bromine to the atmosphere. In the high latitudes the
7 resulting atmospheric bromine plays an important role in ozone loss.

8 **Carbon Monoxide:** The ocean is ubiquitously supersaturated with CO
9 with respect to the atmosphere resulting in a net flux to the atmosphere
10 ranging seasonally and regionally from 0.25 to 13 μ moles/m²/d. However, the
11 total annual emission to the atmosphere of 0.46 Tmoles or 13 Tg is small
12 compared to current estimates from both terrestrial natural and anthropogenic
13 sources of 2400 Tg(CO)/year. Even in the Southern Hemisphere, which
14 accounts for 2/3 of the oceanic emissions, the ocean source is relatively small
15 (< 1%) since both methane oxidation and biomass burning are large sources of
16 CO in the southern hemisphere. (Bates *et al.*, 1995)

17 **Methane:** The ocean is a small source of CH₄ to the atmosphere. Open
18 Pacific Ocean saturation ratios (ratio of seawater CH₄ partial pressure to the
19 overlying atmospheric CH₄ partial pressure) range from 0.95 to 1.17. Large
20 areas of the Pacific Ocean are undersaturated with respect to atmospheric CH₄
21 partial pressures during the fall and winter. On a seasonal time scale, the
22 driving force controlling the saturation ratios outside the tropics appears to be
23 the change in sea surface temperature. Saturation ratios in the equatorial
24 region were always positive and appears to be driven by the strength of the
25 equatorial upwelling. Extrapolating the Pacific data globally and dividing the
26 open-ocean seasonally into two periods and regionally into 10 zones, the
27 calculated average flux of CH₄ to the atmosphere is 25 Gmoles y⁻¹ (13 to 38
28 Gmoles y⁻¹) (Bates *et al.*, 1996). This is approximately an order of magnitude
29 less than previous estimates which lacked fall and winter data. Thus the open-
30 ocean is a very minor source of methane to the atmosphere (<0.1%) compared
31 with other sources (IPCC, 1994). However, the coastal ocean and marginal
32 seas appear to be a much larger source (Owens *et al.*, 1991; Kvenvolden *et al.*,

1 1993; Bange *et al.*, 1994; Lammers *et al.*, 1995) due to CH₄ emissions from
2 bottom sediments and definitely warrant further investigation.

3 **Nonmethane hydrocarbons:** NMHC are produced in surface seawater
4 possibly by photochemical mechanisms, phytoplankton activity and/or the
5 microbial breakdown of organic matter (Plass-Dulmer *et al.*, 1995; Ratte *et al.*,
6 1995; Broadgate *et al.*, 1997). Oceanic concentrations show a strong seasonal
7 cycle (Broadgate *et al.*, 1997). The ocean- atmosphere flux is dominated by
8 alkenes and is small compared to terrestrial emission estimates (<1%).
9 However, the emissions may be significant on local scales considering the
10 short lifetimes of the unsaturated species (Donahue and Prinn, 1993,
11 Broadgate *et al.*, 1997; Pszenny *et al.*, 1999). Additional seasonal
12 measurements of isoprene, ethene and propene are needed in different ocean
13 regions.

14 **Ammonia and methylamines:** Ammonia and methylamines, like other
15 reduced biogenic gases (e.g. methane and DMS), are produced by the
16 microbial breakdown of labile organic matter. The remote oceans are thus a
17 small source of these compounds to the atmosphere (Quinn *et al.*, 1988, 1990,
18 1996; Zhuang and Huebert, 1996; Gibb *et al.* 1999). The exchange of
19 ammonia across the air-sea interface is a small sink in the seawater
20 ammonium cycle (Gibb *et al.*, 1999) and thus, like DMS, changes in ocean
21 biology, chemistry or physics could have a major effect on the flux of
22 ammonia to the atmosphere. Although the current ocean-atmosphere flux of
23 ammonia is small, it plays an important role in atmospheric chemistry.
24 Ammonia is the dominant gas phase basic species in the remote marine
25 atmosphere, and thus can influence the formation, growth, and pH of
26 atmospheric aerosol particles. Additional measurements of ammonia are
27 needed in surface seawater and the overlying atmosphere.

28 **Carbon Dioxide:** The transfer of CO₂ transfer between the ocean and
29 the atmosphere is a strong function of seawater temperature: oceans release
30 CO₂ in regions of warm water take up CO₂ from the atmosphere in cold
31 oceanic environments. Overall, however, the ocean represents a major sink for
32 atmospheric CO₂, and today absorb about a third of the fossil fuel CO₂. Over

1 the geological history, oceans have played a large role in controlling the
2 evolution of atmospheric CO₂. A detailed discussion of the CO₂ cycle is
3 beyond the scope of this report.

4 **Sea-salt aerosols:** Sea-salt particles are ejected into the atmosphere from
5 the breaking of waves and can dominate the mass of both submicron and
6 supermicron marine boundary layer (MBL) aerosol particles in the remote
7 marine environment (Quinn *et al.*, 1998; Huebert *et al.*, 1998). Single particle
8 analysis during ACE-1 revealed that over 90% of the aerosol particles with
9 diameters >130 nm (Murphy *et al.*, 1998) and up to 70% of the particles with
10 diameters >80 nm (Kreidenweis *et al.*, 1998) contained sea salt. The
11 dominance of sea salt aerosol over the remote oceans clearly shows the need
12 to include sea salt in climate models. In moderate to high wind speed
13 conditions such as in the ACE 1 study area, sea salt controls the magnitude of
14 aerosol light scattering (Quinn *et al.*, 1998; Carrico *et al.*, 1998; Murphy *et al.*,
15 1998) and the number of cloud condensation nuclei (Covert *et al.*, 1998;
16 O'Dowd *et al.*, 1997). Sea-salt particles also provide reactive surfaces for the
17 oxidation of gas phase species (e.g., SO₂, nitric acid) and thus act as a shunt in
18 the sulfur cycle limiting new sulfur aerosol formation (Sievering *et al.*, 1999).
19 The liberation of halogen species from sea salt contributes to the tropospheric
20 budget of reactive chlorine (Graedel and Keene, 1995) and may affect the
21 oxidizing capacity of the marine boundary layer (Sander and Crutzen, 1996).

22 The deposition of aerosol species to the surface ocean can provide
23 nutrients to enhance biological productivity. Anthropogenic nitrogen in the
24 form of ammonium and nitrogen oxides and iron and phosphorous associated
25 with mineral dust all act as nutrients to plankton living in the surface ocean
26 (Prospero *et al.*, 1996). Model results suggest that the present-day deposition
27 rate of NO_y and NH_x over the North Atlantic Ocean are about five and ten
28 times greater than pre-industrial times (Prospero *et al.*, 1996). Coale *et al.*
29 (1996) demonstrated in the equatorial Pacific Ocean that iron fertilization can
30 induce a phytoplankton bloom which in turn will affect the seawater
31 concentration of DMS (Turner *et al.*, 1996) and CO₂ (Cooper *et al.*, 1996).

1 Similar experiments need to be conducted using atmospheric aerosol particles
2 in regions downwind of mineral aerosol and anthropogenic aerosol sources.

3 **2.4. Production of nitrogen oxides by lightning**

4 Of the global emission rates for NO_x ($= \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) the thermochemical
5 production of NO_x in lightning discharges is the least well known. Early
6 estimates ranged from 1 TgN/yr [Levine *et al.*, 1981] to 100 TgN/yr
7 [Franzblau and Popp, 1989]. This is a factor of 0.05 to 5 compared to the
8 relatively well established source from fossil fuel combustion (~ 20 TgN/yr
9 [Logan, 1983; Penner *et al.*, 1991; Lee *et al.*, 1997]). Even if the NO_x
10 production from lightning is only a fraction of the fossil fuel emissions, it
11 would still be important for the ozone budget in the troposphere because of the
12 high altitude at which it is released. According to a three-dimensional model
13 study, tropospheric ozone increases by 12% globally if NO_x production from
14 lightning is doubled from 5 TgN/yr to 10 TgN/yr [Brasseur *et al.*, 1996].

15 More recent estimates of the lightning NO_x production rate converge at a
16 range of 2-20 Tg/yr [Lawrence *et al.*, 1995; Price *et al.*, 1997]. Yet, a large
17 discrepancy remains between estimates obtained from laboratory studies or
18 theoretical calculations on the one hand and extrapolation of NO_x
19 measurements in individual thunderstorms on the other hand [Lawrence *et al.*,
20 1995]. Simulations with global three-dimensional chemistry transport models
21 (CTM) place an upper limit of about 20 TgN/yr on the source strength of NO_x
22 from lightning [Gallardo and Rodhe, 1995; Lamarque *et al.*, 1996; Levy *et al.*,
23 1999], arguing that higher emission rates would lead to unrealistic rates of
24 nitrate deposition. Given the prevailing uncertainties in the upper tropospheric
25 NO_x and HO_x chemistry and the difficulties in obtaining a reliable estimate for
26 the nitrate deposition flux over a large region, this limit must be regarded as a
27 weak bound.

28 Lightning arises from the breakdown of the charge separation in
29 electrified convective clouds (thunderstorms). Although the details of the
30 underlying microphysics are still poorly understood, there appears to be a
31 consensus that electrification of a cloud above the energy threshold for

1 lightning requires the coexistence of liquid water, ice crystals and hail or
2 graupel in a sufficiently large regime (the charging zone) [Williams, 1985,
3 1994; Saunders, 1994]. A thundercloud generally represents a dipole with the
4 positive charge on top of the negative charge, but the extent and location of
5 the charge center can vary from cloud to cloud and within the lifetime of a
6 thunderstorm [Solomon and Baker, 1998]. Depending on the vertical and
7 horizontal charge distribution, lightning can occur from cloud to ground (CG),
8 or intracloud (IC), intercloud or even from cloud to air. IC flashes are the most
9 frequent type of flashes, yet they are far less investigated than CG flashes with
10 their hazardous potential. The IC/CG ratio depends on the height of the cloud
11 above freezing level and shows a latitude dependence because tropical
12 convective clouds penetrate deeper into the troposphere than midlatitude
13 clouds [Price and Rind, 1993]. Globally averaged ratios of 2-4 can be found in
14 the literature [Proctor, 1991; Price *et al.*, 1997]. The total flash frequency is
15 determined by the time it takes to rebuild a charge separation in excess of the
16 breakdown potential which in turn depends on the updraft velocity in the
17 charging zone and the liquid water flow into the cloud [Solomon and Baker,
18 1998]. The global frequency of lightning flashes was first estimated by Brooks
19 [1925] to be on the order of 100 s^{-1} . This estimate is still widely used in the
20 literature and has been confirmed to some degree by satellite observations
21 [Orville and Spencer, 1979; Turman and Edgar, 1982]. More recent
22 observations with the Optical Transient Detector [Christian *et al.*, 1996] show
23 a global average of about $40 \text{ flashes s}^{-1}$. Lightning is much more frequent over
24 land than over the oceans. The ratio is about 50:1 except for a few regions,
25 which appear to be linked to continental outflow, where this ratio increases to
26 about 10:1 [<http://thunder.msfc.nasa.gov/data/otdbrowse.html>]. There is a
27 distinct seasonal and diurnal cycle with most flashes occurring during the
28 northern hemisphere summer (about 1.5-2 times more than in winter [Orville
29 and Spencer, 1979]) and during the afternoon.

30 A CG flash is always initiated by a relatively weak "leader" which is
31 followed by one or several "return strokes" of about 150 microseconds and
32 peak currents of up to 60 kA. The mean multiplicity varies from 1 to 5 strokes

1 per flash. Storms with higher lightning frequency tend to have a mean
2 multiplicity between 2 and 3 [Price *et al.*, 1997]. CG flashes can be negative
3 or positive depending on the type of the storm. Intracloud flashes can occur
4 between several charge centers simultaneously and typically show lower peak
5 currents [Ogawa and Brook, 1964]. The production of NO in a lightning
6 discharge is generally believed to take place according to the Zel'dovich and
7 Raizer [1966] mechanism of N₂ and O₂ dissociation and subsequent NO
8 formation in the hot lightning channel. Peak temperatures in a lightning flash
9 approach 30,000K causing the air to become a completely ionized plasma.
10 Upon cooling the atoms recombine and react with each other. In
11 thermodynamic and chemical steady state peak NO concentrations would be
12 reached at ~4500K [Chameides, 1979; Goldenbaum and Dickerson, 1993].
13 However, the lifetime of NO with respect to chemical loss reactions increases
14 exponentially with falling temperature so that rapid cooling of air heated by
15 lightning preserves a higher NO concentration than the steady state would
16 predict [Hill *et al.*, 1980]. Wang *et al.* [1998] produced discharges with peak
17 currents of 30 kA in the laboratory and found the NO production per unit
18 length to decrease with decreasing pressure as one should expect if the cooling
19 of the lightning channel is predominantly caused by turbulent air exchange.
20 Field and laboratory experiments show only little direct production of NO₂
21 from lightning. However, in the atmosphere NO₂ will quickly form due to the
22 fast catalytical cycle involving ozone, NO, and NO₂.

23 Current parameterizations of the NO production from lightning for
24 chemical transport models typically rely on the work of Price and Rind [1992],
25 and Price *et al.* [1997], who provide an estimate for the mean energy per flash
26 and the average NO yield per unit energy. These values are scaled with the
27 flash frequency in the model grid column which is parameterized as a 5th order
28 potential function of the maximum cloud top height (used as a surrogate for
29 the maximum updraft velocity). The effect of lightning NO_x production on
30 tropospheric ozone concentrations also depends on the altitude at which the
31 NO_x is released from the thunderstorm. Pickering *et al.*, [1998] define three
32 standard vertical profiles based on several observations and results from a

1 cloud resolving transport model. While the parameterization of Price *et al.*
2 [1997] yields a global flash frequency distribution which is consistent with the
3 model physics and also gives reasonable global NO_x production rates in CTM
4 simulations, the use of average thunderstorm properties is obviously a very
5 crude approximation. To name one very significant parameter: Lightning has
6 been observed in storms with updraft velocities < 1 m/s (less than 1 flash per
7 minute) to > 50 m/s (more than 50 flashes per minute) [references in William,
8 1995], and it is clear that these storms produce a very different energy
9 spectrum and thus generate different amounts of NO.

10 Field observations of NO_x concentrations in or around active
11 thunderstorm anvils provide another way for estimating the NO_x production
12 rate from lightning [Noxon, 1976; Drapcho *et al.*, 1983; Ridley *et al.*, 1996;
13 Huntrieser *et al.*, 1998 and references therein]. Although it is difficult to
14 distinguish between the NO_x produced from lightning and the NO_x that is
15 transported from the boundary layer in the cloud updraft, all of the
16 measurements show typical mixing ratio enhancements between 0.4 and 2
17 ppbv in the thunderstorm anvil [Huntrieser *et al.*, 1998]. Assuming that their
18 observations represent an average thunderstorm, several authors have
19 estimated the global NO_x production from lightning by scaling the observed
20 NO_x concentrations in the anvil with the air mass flux through the anvil or the
21 volume of the convective cell and with an estimate for the global average
22 lightning frequency. With 0.3-22 TgN/yr, such estimates yield similar values
23 as the theoretical studies but do not allow for a better constraint. Clearly, more
24 field studies are needed which must cover a broad range of thunderstorm
25 types. Improving the simulation of NO_x production from lightning will require
26 more explicit cloud microphysics parameterizations which are still under
27 development [e.g. Solomon and Baker, 1998].

28 **2.5. Chemical composition of the pre-industrial atmosphere**

29 Very little information is available on the abundance of chemical species
30 prior to the industrial era. Analyses of the chemical composition of air bubbles
31 trapped in ice cores have provided estimates of the concentration for long-

1 lived species such as carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxides, etc. An
2 important finding in the last decade is that the concentration of these gases
3 have changed sometimes dramatically in conjunction with climate fluctuations
4 over geological timescales (typically 10,000 to 100,000 years). Transitions
5 between glacial and interglacial periods have been accompanied by
6 remarkable changes in the abundance of long-lived constituents. Although
7 these fluctuations seem to be initiated by variations in the orbital parameters
8 of the Earth (leading to changes in the intensity of incoming solar radiation),
9 they also suggest that the Earth behaves as an integrated system in which
10 couplings between chemistry and climate play an important role. Recent ice
11 core analyses have also revealed significant changes in shorter-lived
12 constituents of the atmosphere as well as in the aerosol load. Signals resulting
13 from large volcanic explosions have been detected. **[More should be added**
14 **by the IGAC specialists on ice cores]**

15 The level of oxidants present in the pre-industrial atmosphere is poorly
16 known. A few observations made in Europe and elsewhere at the end of the
17 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century provide information about
18 background ozone during this period. Measurements made for example at Parc
19 Montsouris in Paris by Albert-Levy (1878) and re-analyzed by Kley (1988)
20 and Volz *et al.* (1988) suggest that pre-industrial ozone concentrations were
21 close to 10 ppbv in the boundary layer. Similar values are reported the
22 Southern hemisphere, based on observations made in the late 1800's in
23 Argentina and Uruguay (Sandroni *et al.*, 1992). These values suggest that
24 ozone concentrations may have increased by almost a factor 2 in South
25 America and a factor 3-4 in Europe.

26 Numerical chemical-transport models have been used to “predict” the
27 pre-industrial concentration of ozone and other chemical compounds in the
28 pre-industrial atmosphere. These models are constrained by the observed
29 abundance of long-lived trace gases deduced from ice core analyses and are
30 integrated after suppressing fossil fuel emissions and reducing significantly
31 the biomass burning emissions. No reliable data exist on the level of biomass
32 burning emissions during the 19th century, but it is generally assumed that the

1 emissions associated with this source were 60 to 90 percent lower than the
2 present values. NO_x sources associated with the current use of fertilizers also
3 need to be suppressed in the model, and again the information available on
4 this source is limited.

5 **Figure XX** shows the pre-industrial ozone concentration calculated at the
6 surface by 2 different three-dimensional models. The comparison between
7 these two models provides a crude indication on the range of model results.
8 Both models, however, derive surface ozone concentrations on the continents
9 that generally higher than suggested by available observations. It remains to
10 be determined if this discrepancy is due to an underestimation in the observed
11 values or an overestimation in the calculated concentrations. This issue needs
12 to be resolved before model predictions of human-induced changes in
13 tropospheric ozone concentrations can become reliable.

14 **3. Human influence on atmospheric composition**

15 **3.1. Introduction**

16 Anthropogenic sources are major contributors to the budgets of many
17 environmentally important atmospheric species (Table 1 of chapter 1). These
18 sources include fossil fuel combustion, industrial activities, biomass burning,
19 and agriculture. We assess here the current understanding of anthropogenic
20 perturbation to the abundances of different gases and discuss scenarios for the
21 future.

22 **Nitrogen oxides:** Anthropogenic sources of NO_x include fossil fuel
23 combustion, biomass burning, and microbial soil emission stimulated by
24 fertilizer application. Natural sources include lightning, natural forest fires,
25 soil emission, and transport from the stratosphere where NO_x is produced by
26 photooxidation of biogenic N_2O . Oxidation of NH_3 provides a small additional
27 source, in part anthropogenic (agriculture). **Figure 1** shows an estimate of the
28 present-day global distribution of NO_x emissions. Anthropogenic emissions
29 account for about 75% of the present-day NO_x source (**Table 1** of Chapter 1).
30 As shown in **Figure 1**, the anthropogenic source is concentrated in the

1 developed countries of northern midlatitudes and in biomass burning regions
2 of the tropics. Concentrations of NO_x in the boundary layer of polluted regions
3 are typically in excess of 1 ppbv, compared to 1-100 pptv in the remote
4 troposphere [Carroll and Thompson, 1995; Emmons *et al.*, 1997; Thakur *et*
5 *al.*, 1997]. Concentrations drop rapidly away from polluted regions because of
6 the short lifetime of NO_x against oxidation (~ 1 day). Human activity has
7 increased NO_x concentrations considerably in populated regions of the world
8 with consequences for generation of ozone smog, particulate matter (aerosol
9 NO_3^-), and acidification and fertilization of ecosystems through HNO_3
10 precipitation. The degree of human influence on NO_x concentrations in
11 remote regions of the troposphere is more uncertain. The latter has important
12 implications for the oxidizing power of the atmosphere and for the global
13 budget of tropospheric O_3 .

14 **Figure 1.** Four-panel map plot of annual mean NO_x emissions from (1) fossil
15 fuel, (2) biomass burning, (3) soils, (4) lightning.

16 Observations over the past decade have demonstrated the importance of
17 peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN) as a reservoir for the long-range transport of NO_x
18 from polluted regions to the remote troposphere. PAN is produced during the
19 photochemical oxidation of hydrocarbons in the presence of NO_x . It
20 decomposes thermally back to NO_x with a lifetime of only 1 hour at room
21 temperature but over a month at 250 K. Unlike HNO_3 , PAN is only sparingly
22 soluble in water and hence not removed by wet deposition. Long-range
23 transport of PAN at the low temperatures of the free troposphere followed by
24 subsidence, heating, and decomposition provides a mechanism for
25 transporting anthropogenic NO_x on a global scale [Crutzen, 1979; Singh,
26 1987]. Concurrent observations of PAN and NO_x from aircraft missions in
27 remote regions of the world have now shown that decomposition of PAN is a
28 major contributor to the NO_x budget in the lower troposphere [Singh *et al.*,
29 1990, 1992; Fan *et al.*, 1994; Jacob *et al.*, 1996; Schultz *et al.*, 1999]. Global
30 3-dimensional models are consistent with these observations [Moxim *et al.*,
31 1996], which imply the potential for ubiquitous anthropogenic influence on
32 NO_x . It is thus found that fossil fuel combustion could account for over 40%

1 of NO_x concentrations in much of the remote northern hemisphere [Horowitz
2 and Jacob, 1999]. In the southern hemisphere, observations from aircraft
3 campaigns show that seasonal biomass burning influence on NO_x extends over
4 the most remote regions of the oceans through long-range transport of PAN
5 [Schultz *et al.*, 1999; Staudt *et al.*, 2000].

6 **Carbon monoxide and nonmethane hydrocarbons.** The main sources
7 of CO are direct emission from fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning,
8 and atmospheric oxidation of CH₄ and nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHCs).
9 **Figure 2** shows the global distribution of anthropogenic CO emissions, which
10 account for about half of the global source (Table 1 of Chapter 1). Human
11 influence on CO extends further through the oxidation of anthropogenic CH₄
12 and NMHCs [Granier *et al.*, 2000]. The natural background concentration of
13 CO, based on the source from preindustrial CH₄, biogenic hydrocarbons (in
14 particular isoprene), and natural fires, is about 30 ppbv. The present-day
15 background is 40-50 ppbv due to ubiquitous enhancement from anthropogenic
16 CH₄, and is observed only at high southern latitudes outside of the biomass
17 burning season. Concentrations in the boundary layer of populated continents
18 often exceed 200 ppbv. Because of the long lifetime of CO (2 months), the
19 influence of combustion sources can extend globally and results in
20 background concentrations in the northern hemisphere of about 70-80 ppbv.
21 This global enhancement of CO has important consequences for the oxidizing
22 power of the atmosphere, as discussed in the next section.

23 **Figure 2.** Map of anthropogenic CO emissions

24 In contrast to CO, the anthropogenic source of NMHCs is small
25 compared to the natural source from vegetation including in particular
26 isoprene and terpenes (**Figure 3**). In most of the eastern United States,
27 isoprene actually dominates over anthropogenic hydrocarbon emissions.
28 Anthropogenic hydrocarbons are important in urban plumes where they
29 promote O₃ production [Roselle *et al.*, 1991]. In winter when vegetation is
30 dormant, they may provide the principal precursor of PAN [Bey *et al.*, 2000].
31 Pyrogenic NMHCs are responsible for the rapid and efficient conversion of
32 NO_x to PAN in biomass burning plumes, where the hydrocarbon/NO_x

1 emission ratio is one order of magnitude higher than in fossil fuel combustion
2 [Jacob *et al.*, 1992; Mauzerall *et al.*, 1998].

3 **Figure 2.** Two-panel map plot of (a) anthropogenic and (b) biogenic NMHC
4 emissions

5 **Implications for tropospheric ozone and OH:** Anthropogenic
6 enhancements of NO_x, CO, and hydrocarbons in the global troposphere has
7 implications for the global budgets of O₃ and OH. Present-day background O₃
8 concentrations in the lower troposphere at northern midlatitudes are 30-40
9 ppbv, much higher than observed in the late 19th century or in the 1950s
10 [Marenco *et al.*, 1994]. The 19th century measurements, available from a
11 number of sites at northern midlatitudes and in the tropics, indicate values of
12 5-15 ppbv [Volz and Kley, 1988; Pavelin *et al.*, 1999]. The data for South
13 America and Africa do not show the springtime maximum characteristic of
14 present-day observations and caused by biomass burning. Model simulations
15 for the preindustrial atmosphere (shutting off all anthropogenic sources)
16 typically overestimate the late 19th century observations by 5-10 ppbv [Wang
17 and Jacob, 1998]. Calibration errors and interferences in the observations
18 could explain part of the discrepancy [Marenco *et al.*, 1994; Pavelin *et al.*,
19 1999]. However, the discrepancy also lies within the uncertainty of our
20 estimates of natural sources of NO_x and hydrocarbons [Mickley *et al.*, 2000].

21 Current model calculations suggest a 40-70% increase in the global
22 inventory of tropospheric O₃ since preindustrial times [Lelieveld and van
23 Dorland, 1995; Levy *et al.*, 1997; Roelofs *et al.*, 1997; Wang and Jacob, 1998;
24 IPCC, 2000]. The increase could be larger, over 100%, if natural sources are
25 overestimated [Mickley *et al.*, 2000]. A sample model result for the global
26 distribution of this increase is shown in **Figure 4**. The largest effect is in the
27 northern hemisphere but increases of more than 50% are also found in much
28 of the southern hemisphere. The increase is 20-80% in the upper troposphere,
29 with largest relative effect in the tropics where the natural source from cross-
30 tropopause transport makes little contribution.

1 **Figure 2.** Four-panel plot of the relative anthropogenic increases of NO_x, CO,
2 ozone, and OH, zonally averaged and shown as a function of latitude and
3 pressure, from Wang and Jacob [1998].

4 The effect of human activity on the oxidizing power of the atmosphere
5 (OH radical concentrations) is more complicated. On the one hand, increases
6 in NO_x and O₃ boost the production and recycling of OH. On the other hand,
7 increases in CO and hydrocarbons cause faster OH loss. The current
8 consensus among 3-D models of tropospheric chemistry is that the global
9 mean OH concentration has remained to within 20% of its present-day value
10 since preindustrial times [Crutzen and Zimmermann, 1991; Lelieveld and van
11 Dorland, 1995; Berntsen *et al.*, 1997; Roelofs *et al.*, 1997]. The models
12 suggest an increase of OH in the northern hemisphere, and a decrease in the
13 southern hemisphere, reflecting the longer lifetimes of CO and CH₄ than of
14 NO_x and O₃ (Figure 4).

15 Recent trends in OH concentrations have been examined from
16 continuous records of methylchloroform (CH₃CCl₃) observations made at the
17 ALE-GAGE network of sites since 1978 [Prinn *et al.*, 1995].
18 Methylchloroform serves as a proxy for the global mean OH concentration. A
19 detailed analysis of the CH₃CCl₃ record by Krol *et al.* [1998] indicates a 0.5%
20 yr⁻¹ increase in the global OH mean concentration from 1978 to present. This
21 increase could be due in part to greater penetration of UV radiation in the
22 troposphere as a result of the thinning of the stratospheric O₃ layer [Madronich
23 and Granier, 1992]. Data from methylchloroform and other OH proxies further
24 indicate that the interhemispheric gradient of OH concentrations is very small
25 and certainly no more than 50% [Spivakovsky *et al.*, 2000].

26 **Sulfur:** Figure 5 shows the global distribution of sulfur emissions from
27 major anthropogenic and natural sources. Anthropogenic sulfur is emitted
28 mainly as SO₂ from coal and oil combustion, oil refining, and metal smelting
29 [Spiro *et al.*, 1992]. It accounts globally for about 80% of sulfur emissions.
30 Natural emissions of sulfur are principally from the oceans and from
31 volcanoes. The lifetime of SO₂ against in-cloud oxidation to sulfate is only a
32 few days, and sulfate is subsequently removed by precipitation on a time scale
33 of a week [Chin *et al.*, 1996]. Concentrations of SO₂ range from 1-10 ppbv

1 over the northern midlatitude continents to 10-100 pptv in the remote
2 troposphere. Ice core records in Greenland show a tripling of sulfate
3 concentrations over the past century [Mayewski *et al.*, 1995]

4 **Figure 2.** Global map of present-day non-seas-salt sulfur emissions.

5 A number of models have been applied to simulate the global
6 distribution of atmospheric sulfate and the extent of anthropogenic influence.
7 An evaluation and intercomparison of these models is presented by Rasch *et*
8 *al.* [2000]. **Figure 6**, from Chin *et al.* [2000], shows the percentage
9 anthropogenic contribution to sulfate concentrations in surface air and in the
10 upper troposphere. Most of the sulfate over populated continents is of
11 anthropogenic origin. Unlike for NO_x, there is no long-lived reservoir that can
12 transport anthropogenic sulfur on global scales. As a result, biogenic sources
13 dominate the supply of sulfate over most of the oceans; this result is well
14 established by observations from island sites and ship cruises, as well as
15 isotopic studies. More uncertain is the origin of sulfate in the upper
16 troposphere, where it plays a key role in the formation of new aerosol
17 particles. A critical question is the degree to which SO₂ and sulfate are
18 scavenged during deep convective transport from the lower to the upper
19 troposphere. Several observational and model studies suggest that a significant
20 fraction escapes scavenging [Chatfield and Crutzen, 1984; Wang *et al.*, 1998;
21 Dibb *et al.*, 1999; Cohan *et al.*, 1999; Mari *et al.*, 2000]. However, the paucity
22 of measurements remains a key hurdle for assessing our understanding of the
23 sources of sulfate in the free troposphere.

24 **Figure 2.** Two-panel map plot showing the percentage anthropogenic
25 contribution to sulfate aerosol concentrations in surface air and in the upper
26 troposphere, as computed with a global 3-D model.

27 **Chlorine** A large number of inert halocarbon compounds are produced
28 by the chemical industry for use in a variety of applications. These compounds
29 are eventually released to the atmosphere where they have relatively long
30 lifetimes against oxidation and photolysis, and may thus penetrate in the
31 stratosphere with consequences for the O₃ layer. **Figure 7** shows the temporal
32 evolution of total halocarbon chlorine in the atmosphere. Methyl chloride

1 contributes a natural background of 0.5 ppbv. Anthropogenic compounds,
2 principally CFCs, dominate. Total chlorine rose to a maximum of 3.5 ppbv in
3 the mid 1990s, Phasing out of the CFCs began with the Montreal protocol in
4 1986, resulting by 1996 in a worldwide ban on production of CFCs and some
5 other halocarbons such as CH_3CCl_3 . Recent observations show that
6 atmospheric concentrations of CFCs have leveled off, conforming to the
7 Montreal protocol, and that CH_3CCl_3 concentrations have been dropping
8 rapidly reflecting its short lifetime (4-5 years) [WMO, 1999]. Concentrations
9 of HCFCs, used as temporary replacement products for CFCs, have been
10 rising rapidly in recent years [WMO, 1999]. However, their lifetime is
11 relatively short and hence they will not accumulate in the atmosphere to the
12 same degree as CFCs. The HCFCs are scheduled for phase-out by 2020.
13 Because of the long lifetimes of CFCs, total chlorine concentrations by the
14 middle of the 21st century will still be over half of values in the mid 1990s
15 (Figure 7).

16 **Figure** Temporal trend of halocarbon chlorine, including estimates for the 21st
17 century

18 **4. The particular case of biomass burning**

19 **4.1. Introduction**

20 During the last decade, considerable amount of work has been
21 performed, often under IGAC sponsorship, to assess the role of biomass
22 burning on the global budget of chemical species in the atmosphere. We have
23 chosen to highlight the major outcome of these studies.

24 Biomass burning is a unique source of many atmospheric trace gases and
25 aerosol particles, while other sources emit only a few compounds. Biomass
26 burning has a distinct seasonality and most burning occurs in the tropics. This
27 summary will discuss the major findings in biomass burning research during
28 the past two decades and will propose areas for future research. Since 1980,
29 the atmospheric chemistry community has made significant progress in
30 understanding the impact of biomass burning on tropospheric and
31 stratospheric chemistry and global climate. Crutzen *et al.* [1979] were the first

1 to suggest that biomass burning is an important source of atmospheric trace
2 gases. These findings have led to several multidisciplinary field campaigns in
3 tropical, temperate, and boreal regions to study the interactions between
4 biomass burning and photochemical processes in the atmosphere. Major field
5 campaigns in Brazil have included NASA ABLE-2A (1988), BASE-B (1990),
6 TRACE-A (1992), and SCAR-B (1995). Large-scale experiments have also
7 been conducted in Africa, including DECAFE (1998) and FOS/DECAFE
8 (1991) in western Africa, SAFARI in southern Africa (1992), and EXPRESSO
9 in central Africa (1996). The major biomass burning experiment in boreal
10 ecosystems (FIRESCAN) was conducted in Siberia in 1993. In addition to
11 large-scale field campaigns, many small-scale experiments on biomass
12 burning have been carried out in various ecosystems.

13 We will summarize the information necessary to evaluate the impact of
14 biomass burning on atmospheric chemistry and global climate, including the
15 sources of burning, combustion chemistry, and emissions of trace gases and
16 particles from biomass burning. We will also discuss the effects of biomass
17 burning on global budgets of atmospheric trace gases and aerosol particles,
18 ozone concentrations in the troposphere, and global climate.

19 **4.2. Extent of biomass burning**

20 Most biomass burning takes place in tropical countries where rapid
21 population growth has occurred during the past 30 years. These vegetation
22 fires are mostly caused by human activities (lightning contributes only a small
23 number). The primary reasons for burning in the tropics are deforestation,
24 shifting cultivation, growth of fresh grass in savannas, demand for fuelwood,
25 and clearing of agricultural residues. In contrast, prescribed fires and wildfires
26 are the major burning sources in temperate and boreal ecosystems.

27 It has been estimated that the amount of biomass burned in the tropics
28 accounts for more than 80% of the biomass burned globally in the late 1970s.
29 This value is derived from FAO statistics on the rate of deforestation, the areas
30 covered by forests and savannas, and fuelwood and agricultural production in
31 tropical countries. Seasonal and inter-annual variations that affect the amount

1 of biomass burned on a local level depend on weather conditions, land cover,
2 and land uses. Thus, remote sensing used in conjunction with ground surveys
3 of vegetation characteristics will be the best way to determine the extent of
4 biomass burning on a global scale.

5 Spatial and temporal distributions of fires have been monitored in
6 tropical, temperate, and boreal regions using satellite data from AVHRR,
7 DMSP, and GOES. The AVHRR satellite provides daily fire locations in the
8 early afternoon, and the DMSP satellite provides nighttime fire locations in 1-
9 km resolution. The GOES satellite provides the diurnal cycle of fires with a
10 spatial resolution of 4 km. Satellite images and statistical data have shown that
11 the fire season starts in November and lasts until the following March in the
12 northern hemisphere of Africa and South America. In the southern
13 hemisphere, the fire season begins in May in the northern region, moves
14 southward as the dry season progresses, and reaches South Africa and
15 southern Brazil in September and October. Although satellite data can provide
16 information on the distribution of fires, it cannot be used to quantify the areas
17 burned. In future research, therefore, it will be critical to develop a better
18 remote sensing technology to monitor the spatial and temporal distribution of
19 burned areas.

20 There is limited information available on the percentage of aboveground
21 biomass burned from the early dry season to the late dry season, primarily
22 because all the field campaigns have been conducted during the late dry
23 season. In fact, the portion of biomass that actually burns depends on its type,
24 composition, and moisture content. In grassland savanna fires in Zambia
25 during the early dry season, less than half the biomass is burned because of
26 high moisture content in the fuel. As the dry season progresses, the percentage
27 of biomass burned increases, and almost all of it is burned toward the end of
28 the dry season. Only a small part of biomass is burned in woodland savannas
29 during the early dry season. This portion also increases as the dry season
30 progresses, until it reaches about 45% by the end of the dry season. In forest
31 fires about 30% of the aboveground biomass is burned in the late dry season
32 and unburned vegetation is often burned again during the following dry

1 season. Overall, about half of total biomass is burned in tropical forests within
2 a two-year period.

3 **4.3. Combustion chemistry and emissions**

4 Research on biomass burning during the past 20 years has progressed
5 most significantly in the field of quantifying the emissions of trace gases and
6 aerosol particles. Extensive field and laboratory experiments have been
7 conducted to measure emissions from fires in tropical, temperate, and boreal
8 ecosystems. Most of these measurements, however, were taken near the end of
9 the dry season when biomass is low in moisture and is highly combustible.
10 The emissions, in fact, are dependent on vegetation and meteorological
11 conditions. The emission ratio of CO to CO₂ is about 14% in grassland
12 savanna fires during the early dry season due to high moisture content in
13 savanna grass. This ratio declines to about 4% - 6% by the late dry season
14 when the grass is low in moisture. In woodland savanna fires, the emission
15 ratio of CO to CO₂ is relatively constant at about 6% throughout the dry
16 season. The emission ratio for forest fires is about 10% - 12% near the end of
17 the dry season.

18 Most CO₂ is emitted during flaming combustion, while most CO is
19 emitted during smoldering combustion. The emissions of CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆,
20 C₂H₂, C₃-C₆ alkanes and alkenes, CH₃OH, HCHO, HCOOH, CH₃COOH,
21 CH₃Cl, CH₃Br, CH₃I, NH₃, and aromatic compounds are linearly correlated to
22 the emissions of CO. The linear relationships vary considerably, depending on
23 vegetation and combustion conditions. The emissions of NO and N₂O are
24 linearly correlated to the emissions of CO₂ and the nitrogen content of
25 biomass. NO is the dominant nitrogen compound of NO_x (=NO+NO₂)
26 produced from efficient combustion or low emission ratios of CO to CO₂. NO
27 is further photochemically oxidized to NO₂ downwind of the plume. NH₃, on
28 the other hand, is the major nitrogen compound produced from inefficient
29 combustion or high CO to CO₂ emission ratios. The proportion of NO and
30 NH₃ emitted is dependent on the efficiency of combustion.

1 Particles emitted from biomass burning have two modes of size
2 distribution: 0.1-1 μm and $>1 \mu\text{m}$. In aged plumes the sizes of small particles
3 will increase, due to condensation and coagulation of particles. The amount of
4 particles emitted from forest fires is usually larger than the amount produced
5 by savanna fires. The dominant composition of particles is organic material
6 produced from incomplete combustion. Black carbon accounts for less than
7 10% of the particle content and is produced in high temperature combustion
8 during the flaming phase. Inorganic elements comprise a small percentage of
9 particles, with potassium the major component. Since potassium is enriched in
10 smoke particles, it can be used as a tracer of smoke particles.

11 **4.4. Contribution to global budgets**

12 It is well established that biomass burning is an important source of
13 many atmospheric trace gases and aerosol particles. Yet it is difficult to
14 quantify the contribution of biomass burning to the global budgets of these
15 trace gases and particles. The contribution also varies substantially in spatial
16 and temporal terms. According to current estimates, the CO source from
17 biomass burning is as great as that from industrial combustion, which accounts
18 for a quarter of the total sources of atmospheric CO. Biomass burning also
19 contributes 5% -10% of atmospheric CH_4 . Approximately half of this source is
20 caused by deforestation and shifting cultivation in the tropics. Fires in African
21 savannas are a significant source of atmospheric ethene, ethane, ethyne,
22 propane, propene, and benzene. It has been estimated as well that biomass
23 burning may contribute about 30% of the CH_3Cl source and about 15% of the
24 CH_3Br source, based on measurements of fires in tropical savannas and
25 agricultural land. It is important to conduct field experiments in various
26 ecosystems with different land uses (e.g., deforestation and shifting
27 cultivation) in order to assess the overall contribution of biomass burning to
28 the global budgets of these compounds.

29 The major nitrogen compounds emitted from vegetation fires are NO,
30 NH_3 , HCN and N_2O . The amount of NO emitted from biomass burning is
31 about 30% of the amount of NO produced by industrial sources. Biomass

1 burning is a minor source of atmospheric N_2O , contributing only about 2% of
2 the global source of atmospheric N_2O .

3 **4.5. Effects on tropospheric ozone**

4 (Please add two paragraphs at the end of the following two paragraphs. One paragraph
5 describes the aircraft observation of vertical ozone profile. The other paragraph describes the
6 modeling results of vertical distribution of ozone as a result of biomass burning in the tropics
7 and its spread to the mid-latitudes.)

8 The impact of biomass burning on ozone concentrations in the
9 troposphere has been observed from satellites, in-situ measurements aboard
10 aircraft, and photochemical modeling. Tropospheric ozone concentrations
11 between 25°N and 25°S have been derived from the satellites of Nimbus 7
12 (1979-1992) and TOMS (1996-present). The trends of ozone concentrations
13 throughout the year correspond to the extent of biomass burning activities in
14 tropical Africa, Latin America, and Asia. In every September and October,
15 ozone concentrations reach their highest levels in Brazil and southern Africa.
16 Such high ozone levels can be attributed mostly to biomass burning, although
17 industrial activities and biogenic emissions of ozone precursors from soils and
18 plants are also potential sources.

19 In addition to having high ozone concentrations over the tropical
20 continents, persistent high ozone levels have been observed by the TOMS
21 satellite in the South Atlantic from July to October. These observations can be
22 explained by the transport of CO, NO, and hydrocarbons, produced by fires in
23 Africa and South America, to the South Atlantic. Further research is needed to
24 examine whether other sources may contribute to the high ozone levels.

25 **5. Global budgets of atmospheric compounds**

26 It is instructive to summarize our understanding of the occurrence and
27 fluxes of the key species in the atmosphere in the form of global budgets. Such
28 budgets enables one to compare the magnitude of the various fluxes and to
29 find out whether the estimates of total sources are balanced by the total sinks.
30 The relative importance of natural and man-made sources will be easily seen.
31 By comparing the atmospheric burden with the total sink strengths one can
32 also get an estimate of the turnover time of the species in question. On the

1 other hand, one must also realize the shortcomings associated with the global
2 budget approach. Many species are not long-lived enough to have a uniform
3 global distribution implying that their concentration varies from region to
4 region depending mainly on the geographical distribution of the emissions. In
5 such cases global totals may no be representative of the actual situation in
6 specific places.

7 An additional problem with budgets occurs when one attempts to
8 balance them by assigning numbers to fluxes whose magnitude are unceratin
9 just to make the fluxes balance. Unless proper uncertainty ranges are included
10 in the budget, uncritical readers may misinterpret such indirect estimates to
11 represent solid numbers. We have tried to avoid this problem by not forcing
12 the budgets to balance but rather used independent best estimates of their
13 magnitude. An unbalanced budget is then an illustration of the limitations in
14 our knowledge.

15 **Carbon:** The main carbon-containing compound in the atmosphere is
16 carbon dioxide (CO₂). It is of fundamental importance to the climate system
17 because of its strong absorption of infrared radiation giving rise to the
18 greenhouse effect. No attempt will be made here to summarize the information
19 about the processes affecting the atmospheric CO₂ budget, or indeed the
20 global carbon cycle, including the oceans and the terrestrial ecosystems. The
21 main reason being that this topic is covered in great detail in a separate IGBP
22 volume (ref). An additional reason is that CO₂ related research has not been a
23 major focus in IGAC (mainly because CO₂ does not participate in important
24 ways in chemical reactions in the atmosphere).

25 **Figure "bud 1"** shows the global fluxes of CO₂ to and from the
26 atmosphere as well as the atmospheric burden during preindustrial times and
27 during the past decade. The current man-made emissions, from fossil fuel
28 combustion, cement manufacturing and deforestation, amount to only about
29 5% of the natural (preindustrial) emissions. Nevertheless, the atmospheric
30 burden has increased by 30% because of these man-made emissions. The main
31 reason is that the natural exchanges between the atmosphere on the one hand
32 and the oceans an the terrestrial ecosystems on the other are gross fluxes that

1 essentially balance over a year, whereas the man-made emissions represent a
2 net input. The atmospheric burden during preindustrial times – corresponding
3 to an atmospheric concentration of about 280 nmol/mol – is the result of a
4 complex interplay between volcanic CO₂ emissions, burial of organic
5 sediments and storage of carbon in the biota and in the oceans.

6 The nominal turnover time of CO₂ in the atmosphere, obtained as the
7 ratio of the preindustrial burden and the total removal rate in **Figure bud 1**, is
8 about 3 years. This means that, on average, a CO₂ molecule in the atmosphere
9 spends that time in the atmosphere before it is taken up by the biota or the
10 oceans. But since most of the CO₂ molecules will re-enter the atmosphere
11 within a few years, the effective lifetime before eventual removal into the deep
12 oceans is much longer, of the order of 100 years. This longer time scale also
13 represents the time scale of adjustment of atmospheric CO₂ to changes in
14 emissions. If all man-made emissions were to stop, it would take hundreds of
15 years before the concentration approached the pre-industrial level again.

16 The second most abundant carbon containing compound is methane
17 (CH₄). Its budget is summarized in **Figure bud 2**. In this case the man-made
18 emissions are more than twice as large as the natural emissions and the
19 atmospheric burden has increased in the same proportion, i.e., by some 240%.
20 As for CO₂, the pre-industrial burden of CH₄ has been estimated from
21 measurements in air trapped in ice cores (ref. PAGES). The most important
22 man-made CH₄ sources include rice cultivation, exhalations from domestic
23 animals, biomass burning and coal mining. (*Comment on how emissions*
24 *might be deliberately reduced?*). The atmospheric turnover time of CH₄ is
25 around 9 years. This is long enough for it to be reasonably well mixed around
26 the globe – still there are significant geographical and seasonal differences in
27 its concentration - but short enough for the atmospheric concentration to
28 respond within a few years to changes in emissions. This means that an
29 emission reduction will be followed within a few years by an approximately
30 corresponding reduction in the atmospheric concentration.

31 In absolute terms the man-made increase in CH₄ is much less than that of
32 CO₂ - 1 vs. 90 mikromol/mol. Despite this, the contribution of the CH₄

1 increase to the greenhouse effect is as large as 30% of the corresponding
2 contribution of man-made CO₂. This is because CH₄ is a much (25 times?)
3 more efficient greenhouse gas than CO₂ counted per molecule.

4 The atmospheric concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) has also
5 increased substantially because of man-made activities, c.f. **Figure bud 3**. The
6 main man-made fluxes include combustion processes (mainly traffic, forest
7 clearing and savanna burning) and oxidation of CH₄ derived from man-made
8 sources. In the case of CO, no direct estimate is available of the pre-industrial
9 burden; the number in **Figure bud 2** is just scaled from the present burden and
10 the ratio of the natural to total emissions. The turnover time of CO is about 2
11 months indicating a less uniform distribution around the globe than CO₂ and
12 CH₄. The annual and latitudinal average concentration of CO in surface air
13 *(Will there be a figure showing this in Chapter 3?)* shows a strong
14 interhemispheric gradient with higher values in the Northern Hemisphere due
15 to man-made emissions. A secondary maximum in the tropics is associated
16 with the biomass burning.

17 Should we also say a few words about NMHC?

18 **Nitrogen:** As mentioned in Chapter 1, nitrous oxide (N₂O) is important
19 both because of it being a greenhouse gas and because it influences the
20 concentration of ozone in the stratosphere. Its sources include man-made
21 emissions from cultivated (fertilized with nitrogen) soils, biomass burning and
22 various industrial processes, several of them not very well quantified. They
23 sum up to be almost as large as the natural emissions, c.f. **Figure bud 4**. The
24 turnover time comes out to be around 10 years. The current rate of increase in
25 the atmospheric concentration of N₂O is about 0.2 % per year, indicating an
26 imbalance between sources and sinks.

27 The global budget of NO_x is presented in **Figure bud 5**. Since the
28 atmospheric turnover time of NO_x is limited to a few days, the geographical
29 distribution of its concentration and rate of deposition is patchy with higher
30 values concentrated in and around the most industrialized regions, c.f. **Figure**
31 **xx**. The short turnover time also implies that any future change in emission
32 will be immediately seen as corresponding changes in the concentration and

1 deposition rate. The current man-made emissions are dominated by fossil fuel
2 combustion and biomass burning. A fraction of the emission from soils is also
3 likely to be of man-made origin.

4 **Figure bud 6** shows the global budget of ammonia/ammonium (NH_x).
5 Most man-made emissions are due to domestic animals, biomass burning and
6 losses from fertilizers. Taken together the man-made emissions make for
7 about 75 % (?) of the total. In addition to Europe and North America, India
8 with its large cattle population, shows up as a major source of NH₃. The
9 increasing use of nitrogen fertilizers worldwide points towards a continued
10 increase in man-made emissions.

11 **Ozone:** The budget of ozone (O₃) is different from the other budgets
12 discussed here in that ozone is not directly emitted into the atmosphere but
13 formed in situ by chemical reactions, c.f. Section 4.x. An important source for
14 ozone in the troposphere is influx of ozone from the main source regions in
15 the stratosphere. The pre-industrial and current budgets of ozone in the global
16 troposphere are shown in **Figure bud 7**. It is clear that chemical reactions
17 within the troposphere play an important role in these budgets, but also that
18 the balance has changed substantially since pre-industrial times: the
19 tropospheric burden has been estimated (through modelling) to have increased
20 by 20 % (??).

21 **Aerosols and their precursors:** Man-made emissions of sulfur
22 compounds (mainly SO₂) have a profound impact on the acid/base status of
23 aerosols, clouds and precipitation. The aerosol sulfate resulting from these
24 emissions also have a cooling effect which counteracts a substantial part of the
25 heating due to greenhouse gases. **Figure bud 8** indicates that about 70 % of the
26 current emissions of gaseous sulfur compounds comes from man-made
27 sources, mainly fossil fuels combustion. In the most polluted regions, this
28 percentage exceeds 90 %. The concern about environmental effects, including
29 acid deposition, has led to a substantial reduction in the man-made SO₂
30 emission in some parts of the world (mainly Europe and North America)
31 during the 1980s and 1990s. In some other regions, including East Asia,
32 emissions show a strong positive trend.

1 (Include figure showing the trend in man-made sulfur emission by region during the past 140
2 years, c.f. IPCC 1996??)

3 As an example of a primary aerosol component we show in **Figure bud 9**
4 the global budget of elemental carbon (black carbon, "soot"). Natural
5 emissions of soot are believed to be small compared to those from fossil fuels
6 combustion and biomass burning, but uncertainties are appreciable

7 **6. Prospects for the 21st century**

8 Anthropogenic influence on the chemical composition of the atmosphere
9 will certainly evolve considerably over the next century. The developed
10 countries of North America and Europe have now entered an era of
11 environmental management, with strict emission controls aimed at abating
12 urban and regional air pollution. Emissions of CO, anthropogenic
13 hydrocarbons, and SO₂ in these countries have decreased by ~30% over the
14 past decade, and the decrease is seen in atmospheric observations [Sickles *et*
15 *al.*, 1999; Dickerson *et al.*, 1999]. Control of NO_x emissions has lagged
16 behind, because the technology is less mature and because of greater
17 economic cost, but large decreases in emissions are expected over the coming
18 decades.

19 These decreases in emissions of the classical pollutants in the developed
20 world will likely be compensated on a global scale by increasing emissions
21 from the developing world. Eastern Asia (including in particular China and
22 India) is at the vanguard of present economic development. Emissions of NO_x
23 from that region have increased by ~5% yr⁻¹ over the past decade and this
24 exponential rate of growth is expected to continue for at least the next two
25 decades [van Aardenne *et al.*, 1999]. Although there is now some effort in
26 China to curb egregious air pollution associated with large stationary
27 combustion sources and with domestic use of coal, a rapid growth in mobile
28 sources is expected in the decades ahead. Other developing regions in the
29 tropics are expected to make increasing contributions to global anthropogenic
30 emissions over the 21st century.

31 Emission scenarios for the 21st century were compiled by the Special
32 Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) as part of the IPCC [2000] report. The

1 SRES gives decadal estimates of emissions of greenhouse gases, NO_x, CO,
2 hydrocarbons, and SO₂ for four possible socioeconomic scenarios. Forecasts
3 are shown in **Figure 8** for scenario A2 (most pessimistic) and scenario B1
4 (most optimistic). In scenario A2, the relative changes in emissions from 2000
5 to 2100 are +240% for NO_x, +140% for CO, +160% for CH₄, +160% for
6 NMHCs, and -10% for SO₂. In that scenario, emissions of SO₂ increase by
7 60% from 2000 to 2030 and then decline, presumably because of emission
8 controls in the developing world and decreased use of coal. Scenarios A1 and
9 B1, which assume more sustainable development, forecast in general lower
10 emissions than scenarios A2 and B2. Even in scenarios A1 and B1, NO_x
11 emissions increase by 50-60% from 2000 to the mid-21st century before
12 declining. Scenario A1 features a large increase of CO emissions from 2000 to
13 2100 (+140%) with only a moderate rise in NO_x emissions (+24%), which
14 would cause depletion of the OH radical with implications for CH₄.

15 **Figure X.** Forecast trends in emissions of NO_x, CO, CH₄, NMHCs, and SO₂
16 for 2000-2100 in two socioeconomic scenarios of the IPCC 2000 SRES:
17 scenario A2 (top) is the most pessimistic while scenario B1 (bottom) is the
18 most optimistic.

19 A number of global 3-D tropospheric chemistry models have been
20 applied under the auspices of IPCC 2000] to assess the implications of the
21 different SRES emission scenarios for global changes in O₃ and OH during the
22 21st century. For scenario A2 the models predict a global mean increase in
23 tropospheric O₃ of 17-27 DU from present-day conditions, corresponding to a
24 percentage increase of 50-100%; they also predict 6-25% decreases in global
25 mean OH concentrations.

26 The response of aerosol abundances to changes in emissions is expected
27 to be more linear. As SO₂ emissions decrease and NO_x emissions increase,
28 nitrate will eventually become dominant over sulfate as a component of
29 anthropogenic aerosol [Adams *et al.*, 1999]. Another important aerosol
30 precursor is NH₃, which was not included in the SRES but may be expected to
31 scale with N₂O emissions because of their common agricultural sources
32 (+160% in scenario A2, -20% in scenario A1). Changes in soot emissions may
33 be expected to scale with CO emissions, which more double in all scenarios

- 1 except B1. It appears therefore that the next century will see large changes in
- 2 both the abundances and composition of anthropogenic aerosols. These
- 3 changes will be compounded by perturbations to biogenic and geogenic
- 4 aerosols as a result of changes in climate and in land use.

References (not complete)

- Baker, J.M., C.E. Reeves, P.D. Nightingale, S.A. Penkett, S.W. Gibb, and A.D. Hatton, Biological production of methyl bromide in the coastal waters of the North Sea and open ocean of the northeast Atlantic, *Marine Chem.*, 64, 267-285, 1999.
- Bange, H.W., U.H. Bartell, S. Rapsomanikis, and M.O. Andreae, Methane in the Baltic and North Seas and a reassessment of the marine emission of methane, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 8, 465-480, 1994.
- Bates, T.S., J.D. Cline, R.H. Gammon, and S.R. Kelly-Hansen, Regional and seasonal variations in the flux of oceanic dimethylsulfide to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 2,930-2,938, 1987.
- Bates, T.S., K.C. Kelly, J.E. Johnson, and R.H. Gammon (1995). Regional and seasonal variations in the flux of oceanic carbon monoxide to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100:23,093-23,101.
- Bates, T.S., K.C. Kelly, J.E. Johnson, and R.H. Gammon (1996). A reevaluation of the open-ocean flux of methane to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101:6953-6962.
- Brasseur, G.P., J.-F. Müller, and C. Granier, Atmospheric impact of NO_x emissions by subsonic aircraft: A three-dimensional model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 1423-1428, 1996.
- Broadgate, W.J., P.S. Liss, and S.A. Penkett. Seasonal emissions of isoprene and other reactive hydrocarbons gases from the ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2675-2678, 1997.
- Brooks, C.E.P., The distribution of thunderstorms over the globe, *Geophys. Mem. London*, 3 (24), 147-164, 1925.
- Carrico, C.M., M.J. Rood, and J.A. Ogren, Aerosol light scattering properties at Cape Grim, Tasmania, during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,565-16,574, 1998.
- Chameides, W.L., Effect of variable energy input on nitrogen fixation in instantaneous linear discharges, *Nature*, 277, 123-125, 1979.
- Christian, H.J., K.T. Driscoll, S.J. Goodman, R.J. Blakeslee, D.A. Mach, and D.E. Buechler, The optical transient detector (OTD), presented at the 10th International Conference on Atmospheric Electricity, sponsored by Met. Soc. Japan, 1996.
- Coale, K.H. *et al.*, A massive phytoplankton bloom induced by an ecosystem-scale iron fertilization experiment in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, *Nature*, 383, 495-501, 1996.
- Cooper, D.J., A.J. Watson, and P.D. Nightingale, Large decrease in ocean-surface CO₂ fugacity in response to in situ iron fertilization, *Nature*, 383, 511-513, 1996.
- Covert, D.S., J.L., Gras, A. Wiedensohler, and F. Stratmann, Comparison of directly measured CCN with CCN modeled from the number-size

- distribution in the marine boundary layer during ACE 1 at Cape Grim, Tasmania, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,597-16,608, 1998.
- Donahue, N.M., and R.G. Prinn, In situ nonmethane hydrocarbon measurements on SAGA 3, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 16,915-16,932, 1993.
- Erickson, D.J. III, Ocean to atmosphere carbon monoxide flux: global inventory and climate implications, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 4, 305-314, 1989.
- Franzblau, E., and C.J. Popp, Nitrogen oxides produced from lightning, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94, 11,089-11,104, 1989.
- Gallardo, L., and H. Rodhe, Evaluation of a global 3-D model of tropospheric oxidizing nitrogen, Rep. CM-85, Dept. of Meteorology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, 1995.
- Gibb, S.W., R. Fauzi, C. Mantoura, and P.S. Liss, Ocean-atmosphere exchange and atmospheric speciation of ammonia and methylamines in the region of the NW Arabian Sea, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 13, 161 – 178, 1999.
- Goldenbaum, G.C., and R.R. Dickerson, Nitric oxide production by lightning discharges, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 18,333-18,338, 1993.
- Graedel, T.E. and W.C. Keene, Tropospheric budget of reactive chlorine. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 9, 47-77, 1995.
- Groszko, W. and R.M. Moore. Ocean-atmosphere exchange of methyl bromide: Results from NW Atlantic and Pacific Ocean studies. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,737-16,741, 1998.
- Happell, J.D. and D.W.R. Wallace, Methyl iodide in the Greenland/Norwegian Seas and the tropical Atlantic Ocean: Evidence for photochemical production, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2105-2108, 1996.
- Hill, R.D., R.G. Rinker, and H.D. Wilson, Atmospheric nitrogen fixation by lightning, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 37, 179-192, 1980.
- Huebert, B.J., S.G. Howell, L. Zhuang, J.A. Heath, M.R. Litchy, D.J. Wylie, J.L. Kreidler-Moss, S. Coppel, and J.E. Pfeiffer, Filter and impactor measurements of anions and cations during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,493-16,509, 1998.
- Itoh, N. and M. Shinya. Seasonal evolution of bromomethanes from coralline algae (Corallinaceae) and its effect on atmospheric ozone. *Mar. Chem.*, 45, 95-103, 1994.
- Kettle, A.J., M.O. Andreae, D. Amouroux, T.W. Andreae, T.S. Bates, *et al.* A global database of sea surface dimethylsulfide (DMS) measurements and a simple model to predict sea surface DMS as a function of latitude, longitude and month. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 13, 399-444, 1999.
- Kreidenweis, S., L.M. McInnes, and F.J. Brechtel, Observations of aerosol volatility and elemental composition at Macquarie Island during the First

- Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,511-16,524, 1998.
- Kvenvolden, K.A., M.D. Lilley, T.D. Lorenson, P.W. Barnes, and E. McLaughlin, The Beaufort Sea continental shelf as a seasonal source of atmospheric methane, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 2459-2462, 1993.
- Lamarque, J.-F., G.P. Brasseur, P.G. Hess, and J.-F. Müller, Three-dimensional study of the relative contributions of the different nitrogen sources in the troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 22,955-22,968, 1996.
- Lammers, S., E. Suess, M.N. Mansurov, and V.V. Anikev, Variations of atmospheric methane supply from the Sea of Okhotsk induced by the seasonal ice cover, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 9, 351-358, 1995.
- Lawrence, M.G., W.L. Chameides, P.S. Kasibhatla, H. Levy II, and W. Moxim, Lightning and atmospheric chemistry: the rate of atmospheric NO production, in: H. Volland (ed.), *Handbook of atmospheric electrodynamic*s, Vol. 1, 189-202, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1995.
- Lee, D.S., I. Köhler, E. Grobler, F. Rohrer, R. Sausen, L. Gallardo-Klenner, J.G.J. Olivier, F.J. Dentener, and A.F. Bouwman, Estimates of global NO_x emissions and their uncertainties, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 1735-1749, 1997.
- Levine, J.S., R.S. Rogowsky, G.L. Gregory, W.E. Howell, and J. Fishman, Simultaneous measurements of NO_x, NO, and O₃ production in a laboratory discharge: Atmospheric implications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 8, 357-360, 1981.
- Levy, H., W.J. Moxim, A.A. Klonecki, and P.S. Kasibhatla, Simulated tropospheric NO_x: Its evaluation, global distribution and individual source contributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 26,279-26,303, 1999.
- Liss, P.S. and L. Merlivat, Air-sea gas exchange rates: introduction and synthesis. In: *The Role of Air-Sea Exchange in Geochemical Cycling*, P. Buat-Menard, ed., Reidel, Hingham, MA, 113-127, 1986.
- Lobert, J.M., S.A. Yvon-Lewis, J.H. Butler, S.A. Montzka, and R.C. Myers, Undersaturation of CH₃Br in the Southern Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 1710172, 1997. Moore, R.M., W. Groszko, and S.J. Niven. Ocean-atmosphere exchange of methyl chloride: Results from NW Atlantic and Pacific Ocean studies. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 28,529-28,538, 1996.
- Logan, J.A., Nitrogen oxides in the troposphere: Global and regional budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 10,785-10,807, 1983
- Moore, R.M. and M. Webb, The relationships between methyl bromide and chlorophyll a in high latitude ocean waters. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 2951-2954, 1996.
- Moore, R.M. and Wayne Groszko. Methyl iodide distribution in the ocean and fluxes to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 11,163- 11,171, 1999.
- Murphy, D.M., J.R. Anderson, P.K. Quinn, L.M. McInnes, F.J. Brechtel, S.M. Kreidenweis, A.M. Middlebrook, M. Posfai, and D.S. Thomson,

- Submicron sea salt particles and aerosol radiative properties in the remote Southern Ocean marine boundary layer, *Nature*, 392, 62-65, 1998.
- Murphy, P.P., R.A. Feely, R.H. Gammon, D.E. Harrison, K.C. Kelly, and L.S. Waterman, Assessment of the air-sea exchange of CO₂ in the South Pacific during austral autumn, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 20,455-20,465, 1991.
- Nightingale, P.D., G. Malin, and P.S. Liss Production of chloroform and other low-molecular-weight halocarbons by some species of macroalgae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 40, 680-689, 1995.
- O'Dowd, C.D., M.H. Smith, I.E. Consterdine, and J.A. Lowe, Marine aerosol, sea-salt, and the marine sulphur cycle: A short review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 73-80, 1997.
- Ogawa, T., and M. Brook, The mechanism of the intracloud lightning discharge, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 69, 514-519, 1964.
- Orville, R.E., and D.W. Spencer, Global lightning flash frequency, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 107, 934-943, 1979.
- Owens, N.J.P., C.S. Law, R.F.C. Mantoura, P.H. Burkill, and C.A. Llewellyn, Methane flux to the atmosphere from the Arabian Sea, *Nature*, 354, 293-296, 1991.
- Penner, J., C.S. Atherton, J. Dignon *et al.*, Tropospheric nitrogen - A 3-dimensional study of sources, distribution, and deposition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 959-990, 1991.
- Plass-Dulmer, C., R. Koppmann, M. Ratte, and J. Rudolph. Light nonmethane hydrocarbons in seawater. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 9, 79-100, 1995.
- Price, C., and D. Rind, What determines the cloud-to-ground fraction in thunderstorms?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 463-466, 1993.
- Price, C., J. Penner, and M. Prather, NO_x from lightning: 1. Global distribution based on lightning physics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 5929-5941, 1997.
- Proctor, D.E., Regions where lightning flashes began, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 5096-5112, 1991.
- Prospero, J.M., K. Barrett, T. Church, F. Dentener, R.A. Duce, J.N. Galloway, H. Levy, J. Moody, P. Quinn, Nitrogen dynamics of the North Atlantic ocean: Atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the North Atlantic basin, *Biogeochemistry*, 35, 27 - 73, 1996.
- Pszenny, A.A.P., R.G. Prinn, G.L. Kleiman, X. Shi, and T.S. Bates, Nonmethane hydrocarbons in surface waters, their sea-air fluxes and impact on OH in the marine boundary layer during ACE 1, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 21,785-21,901, 1999.
- Quinn P.K., T.S. Bates, J.E. Johnson, D.S. Covert, and R.J. Charlson, Interactions between the sulfur and reduced nitrogen cycles over the central Pacific Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 16405 - 16416, 1990.

- Quinn, P.K., D.J. Coffman, V.N. Kapustin, T.S. Bates, and D.S. Covert, Aerosol optical properties in the marine boundary layer during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1) and the underlying chemical and physical aerosol properties, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 16,547-16,563, 1998.
- Quinn, P.K., K.J. Barrett, F.J. Dentener, F. Lipschultz, and K. D. Six, Estimation of the air/sea exchange of ammonia for the North Atlantic basin, *Biogeochemistry*, 35, 275 - 304, 1996.
- Quinn, P.K., R.J. Charlson, and T.S. Bates, Simultaneous observations of ammonia in the atmosphere and ocean, *Nature*, 335, 336 - 338, 1988.
- Ratte, M., C. Plass-Dulmer, R. Koppmann, and J. Rudolph. Horizontal and vertical profiles of light hydrocarbons in sea water related to biological, chemical, and physical parameters. *Tellus*, 47B, 607- 623, 1995.
- Sander, R., and P.J. Crutzen, Model study indicating halogen activation and ozone destruction in polluted air masses transported to the sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 9121-9138, 1996.
- Saunders, C.P.R., Thunderstorm electrification laboratory experiments and charging mechanisms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 10,773-10,779, 1994.
- Sievering, H., B. Lerner, J. Slavich, J. Anderson, M. Posfai, and J. Cainey, O₃-oxidation of SO₂ in sea-salt aerosol water: the size distribution of non-sea-salt sulfate during ACE 1. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 21,707-21,717, 1999.
- Smethie, W.M. Jr., T. Takahashi, D.W. Chipman and J.R. Ledwell, Gas exchange and CO₂ flux in the tropical Atlantic Ocean determined from ²²²Rn and pCO₂ measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 7,005-7,022, 1985.
- Solomon, R., and M. Baker, Lightning flash rate and type in convective storms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 14,041-14,057, 1998.
- Sturges, W.T., G.F. Cota, and P.T. Buckley. Bromoform emission from Arctic ice algae. *Nature* 358, 660-662, 1992.
- Sturges, W.T., C.W. Sullivan, R.C. Schnell, L.E. Heidt, and W.H. Pollock. Bromoalkane production by Antarctic ice algae, *Tellus*, 45B, 120-126, 1993.
- Tans, P.P., I.Y. Fung, and T. Takahashi, Observational constraints on the global atmospheric carbon dioxide budget, *Science*, 247, 1,431- 1,438, 1990.
- Turman, B.N., and B.C. Edgar, Global lightning distributions at dawn and dusk, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 1191-1206, 1982.
- Turner, S.M., P.D. Nightingale, L.J. Spokes, M.I. Liddicoat, and P.S. Liss, Increased dimethyl sulphide concentrations in sea water from in situ iron enrichment, *Nature*, 383, 513-516, 1996.
- Ulshofer, V.S., G. Uher, and M.O. Andreae, Evidence for a winter sink of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide in the northeast Atlantic Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 2601-2604, 1995.

- Von Hobe, M., A.J. Kettle, and M.O. Andreae. Carbonyl sulphide in and over seawater: Summer data from the northeast Atlantic Ocean. *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 3503-3514, 1999.
- Wanninkhof, R.H., Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 7373-7382, 1992.
- Watson, A.J., R.C. Upstill-Goddard, and P.S. Liss, Air-sea exchange in rough and stormy seas measured by a dual-tracer technique, *Nature*, 349, 145-147, 1991.
- Weiss, P.S., J.E. Johnson, R.H. Gammon, and T.S. Bates. A reevaluation of the open ocean source of carbonyl sulfide to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100:23,083-23,092, 1995.
- Williams, E.R., Comment on "Thunderstorm electrification laboratory experiments and charging mechanisms" by C.P.R. Saunders, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 1503-1505, 1995.
- Williams, E.R., Large-scale charge separation in thunderclouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 6013-6025, 1985.
- Williams, E.R., R. Zhang, and D. Boccippio, The microphysical growth state of ice particles and large-scale electrical structure of clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 10,787-10,792, 1994.
- Zel'dovich, Y.B., and Y.P. Raizer, *Physics of shock waves and high-temperature hydrodynamic phenomena*, 445pp., Academic, San Diego, California, 19
- Zepp, R.G., and M.O. Andreae. Factors affecting the photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide in seawater. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 21, 2813-2816, 1994.
- Zhuang, L. and B.J. Huebert, Lagrangian analysis of the total ammonia budget during Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experiment/Marine Aerosol and Gas Exchange, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 4341 – 4350, 1996.